

The radio galaxy K - z relation: The $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ mass limit

Masses of galaxies from the L_K luminosity, up to $z > 4$

B. Rocca-Volmerange^{1,3}, D. Le Borgne¹, C. De Breuck¹, M. Fioc^{1,4}, and E. Moy²

¹ Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis, Bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France

² DSM/DAPNIA, Service d'Astrophysique, CEA-Saclay, Bât. 709, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Université de Paris-Sud XI, Bât. 333, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

⁴ Université Paris VI, 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received 28 April 2003 / Accepted 7 November 2003

Abstract. The narrow K - z relation of powerful radio galaxies in the Hubble K -band diagram is often attributed to the stellar populations of massive elliptical galaxies. Because it extends over a large range of redshifts ($0 < z < 4$), it is difficult to estimate masses at high redshifts by taking into account galaxy evolution. In the present paper, we propose to estimate the stellar masses of galaxies using the galaxy evolution model PÉGASE. We use star formation scenarios that successfully fit faint galaxy counts as well as $z = 0$ galaxy templates. These scenarios also predict spectra at higher z , used to estimate valid photometric redshifts. The baryonic mass of the initial gas cloud M_{bar} is then derived. The K - z relation is remarkably reproduced by our evolutionary scenario for elliptical galaxies of baryonic mass $M_{\text{bar,max}} \simeq 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, at all z up to $z = 4$. $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ is also the maximum mass limit of all types of galaxies. Using another initial mass function (IMF), even a top-heavy one, does not alter our conclusions. The high value of $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ observed at $z > 4$ implies that massive clouds were already formed at early epochs. We also find that the $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ limit is similar to the critical mass M_{crit} of a self-gravitating cloud regulated by cooling (Rees & Ostriker 1977; Silk 1977). Moreover, the critical size $r_{\text{crit}} \simeq 75$ kpc is remarkably close to the typical diameter of Ly α haloes surrounding distant radio galaxies. This confirms the validity of the method of baryonic mass determination based on the K -band luminosity. A puzzling question that remains to be answered is the short time-scale of mass-accumulation required to form such massive galaxies at $z = 4$. We discuss the dispersion of the K - z relation in terms of uncertainties on the mass limit. The link between the presence of the active nucleus and a large stellar mass is also discussed.

Key words. galaxies: evolution – galaxies: fundamental parameters – galaxies: distances and redshifts – cosmology: observations

1. Introduction

The K -band Hubble diagram is an efficient tool to study the evolution of galaxies at high redshifts. Because evolutionary processes in the expanding universe are not known, models are required. The most valuable models propose scenarios of star formation that aim to fit observations of galaxies at all redshifts; the first constraint is to reproduce the templates of nearby ($z \simeq 0$) galaxies.

The K -band (centred at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$) is preferred for evolutionary analysis because galaxies are seen over a large redshift range ($0 \leq z \leq 4$) in their near-IR to optical rest frames. Moreover, the evolutionary effects are reduced. The Hubble K - z diagram is known to be an excellent tool to measure stellar masses of galaxies, up to high redshifts. At $z \simeq 0$, the K -band luminosities are dominated by the bulk of old low mass red stars. At higher z , the K -band emission is due to the redshifted

emission of blue luminous young stars because galaxies are more gas-rich and formed stars more actively in the past.

The main feature of the galaxy distribution in the Hubble K -band diagram is the well-known K - z relation, the sharp bright limit traced by nearby ($z \simeq 0$) massive galaxies and by hosts of distant powerful radio galaxies. The physical meaning of the relation, up to $z = 4$ or more, is still puzzling. Most of the interpretations of the K - z relation explain its dispersion either by testing various sets of cosmological parameters (H_0 , q_0 , Λ_0) (Longair & Lilly 1984, and more recently Inskip et al. 2002) or by examining the relation of the galaxy K magnitude with its radio power. The radio-powerful 3CR galaxies are on average brighter ($\Delta K \simeq -0.6$ mag) than the less radio-powerful 6C (Eales & Rawlings 1996; Eales et al. 1997) or 7C (Willott et al. 2003) radio galaxies. Moreover the radio-powerful Molonglo sample (McCarthy 1999) shows a $\Delta K \simeq -1$ mag with the 6C catalogue at all redshifts. From their near-infrared morphologies, the stellar populations of radio galaxy hosts are identified with massive elliptical galaxies,

Send offprint requests to: B. Rocca-Volmerange,
e-mail: brigitte.rocca@iap.fr

even at high redshifts (van Breugel et al. 1998; Lacy et al. 2000; Pentericci et al. 2001). Radio galaxy luminosities are generally high, typically $L \simeq 3$ to $5 L_{*}$ for both radio-loud and radio-quiet galaxy hosts (Papovich et al. 2001). Radio galaxy hosts are located in place of elliptical galaxies in the fundamental plane (Kukula et al. 2002). At high z , mass estimates of radio galaxy hosts are rare. From an incomplete rotation curve, Dey et al. (1996) derived a mass of $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ for 3C 265 ($z = 0.81$). Using HST/NICMOS observations, Zirm et al. (2003) confirmed that radio galaxy hosts are massive, even at high z . Stellar masses estimated by fitting stellar energy distributions (SEDs) to the NICMOS data are between 3 and $8 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. The CO (3-2) line of the very massive galaxy SMM J02399-0136 ($z = 2.8$) observed with the IRAM observatory by Genzel et al. (2003) shows that it is a rapidly rotating disk with a total dynamical mass of $\approx 3 \times 10^{11} \sin^2 i M_{\odot}$ (i is the galaxy inclination). Stellar masses of three galaxies, selected in the Hubble Deep Field South at $K_s < 22$ on the basis of their unusually red near-IR color ($J - K > 3$), were estimated to be about $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ from their SEDs (Saracco et al. 2003).

Section 2 presents the observational samples of radio and field galaxies in the Hubble K -band diagram. Following a preliminary interpretation of the K - z relation (Rocca-Volmerange 2001), we explore in Sect. 3 the space of cosmology and galaxy evolution parameters with the code PÉGASE to confirm this interpretation. We successively analyse the parameter set: distance modulus, star formation scenarios that define the evolutionary time-scales of the various components, the IMF and finally the baryonic mass of the initial gas reservoir. For the sake of clarity, evolution scenarios are limited to spiral and elliptical types: considering scenarios between these two types would not modify our conclusions. In Sect. 4, the K - z diagram is analysed with the predicted K - z sequences of spiral and elliptical galaxies formed from clouds of various baryonic masses $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^9$ to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$. We find that only the sequence of the elliptical galaxies formed from a $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ progenitor cloud uniformly fits the K - z relation from $z = 0$ to $z = 4$. The sensitivity of this result to other factors (cosmology, intensities of emission lines) is considered.

The striking similarity of the $M_{\text{bar,max}} = 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ mass limit to the theoretical estimate of the critical mass of fragmentation for self-gravitating clouds (Rees & Ostriker 1977) is finally discussed in Sect. 5. Since the K - z relation is mainly traced by the most powerful radio galaxies, this means that the most massive galaxies correspond to the most massive black holes. Masses of galaxies of various radio powers are derived in Sect. 6. In particular, strong constraints on mass accumulation time-scales are given by the high masses of radio galaxy hosts at $z \simeq 4$. The discussion and conclusion make up the last sections.

2. The observational K - z relation

The observational sample of distant field galaxies and of radio-loud galaxies in the K -band has been gathered by De Breuck et al. (2002) (Fig. 1). Radio sources are from the 3CR and 6CE catalogues completed by radio galaxies observed with NIRC at the Keck I telescope (Laing et al. 1983; Eales et al. 1997; van Breugel et al. 1998). All the magnitudes of radio




Fig. 1. Radio galaxy hosts (empty squares) and deep field galaxies (dots and crosses) plotted in the Hubble K -band diagram. The catalogues of radio galaxies are respectively 3CR (blue squares) and 6CE (green squares). The sample also includes highly distant radiogalaxies observed with the NIRC and some 6C* radio galaxies (red squares). The field galaxy samples are the HDF-N (black crosses, Williams et al. 1996) and Hawaii (black dots, Cowie et al. 1999) surveys.

sources are corrected to a standard 64 kpc metric aperture, following the method of Eales et al. (1997). The brightest ($z \simeq 1$) 3CR sample is complete. The NIRC sample is a selection by van Breugel et al. (1998) of powerful radio sources at the highest redshifts ($1.8 < z < 4.4$) with strong emission lines. The 6CE and NIRC catalogues are not complete. In Sect. 4 and after, the complete 7C catalogues and the 6CE* sample, recently published by Willott et al. (2003), were added to the De Breuck's sample for the interpretation of the K - z relation. Over the 8-magnitude range of K -band luminosities of galaxies, the bright envelope of the radio galaxy distribution delineates a sharp limit, up to $z = 4$: the so-called K - z relation. For comparison, field galaxies of the Hawaii survey (Cowie et al. 1999) and the HDF-N survey (Williams et al. 1996), observed in the K -band at KPNO by Dickinson et al. (2003) are also plotted in the Hubble diagram. The spectroscopic redshifts were published by Fernández-Soto et al. (1999), Cohen et al. (2000) and Songaila et al. (1994).

3. Modeling galaxy evolution through the K -band

The variation of apparent K magnitudes with redshift is predicted by galaxy evolution models, assuming a cosmological model. To clarify the sensitivity of the model outputs to the adopted star formation scenarios, we separately analyze the effects of other parameters (distance modulus, IMF, initial mass and redshift, cosmological parameters). Stellar energy distributions (SED) of galaxy templates are predicted at all redshifts. The main emission lines, due to ionization by massive stars, are




Fig. 2. **a)** Plot of the absolute bolometric magnitude $M_{\text{bol}}(z, t(z))$ vs. z for starburst, spiral Sc and elliptical template galaxies. **b)** similar plot with the apparent bolometric magnitude $m_{\text{bol}}(z, t(z))$. The comparison of the two plots shows that the distance modulus is the primary explanation for the K - z slope up to $z \approx 1$, whatever the spectral type. At higher z , stellar evolution has a major effect on light emission for all spectral types. (This figure is available in color in electronic form.)

computed with an HII region model in which the Lyman continuum photon number and metallicity are the main parameters. Their intensities are added to the SEDs, assuming a FWHM of 10 \AA at $z = 0$.

For each spectral type, the reddened apparent magnitude of a synthetic galaxy through the filter λ at redshift z and cosmic time $t(z)$ is given by:

$$m_{\lambda}(z, t(z)) = M_{\lambda}(0, t(0)) + (m - M)_{\text{bol}}(z) + A_{\lambda}(z) + k_{\lambda}(z) + e_{\lambda}(z)$$

where $M_{\lambda}(0, t(0))$ is the intrinsic magnitude at $z = 0$ and at the present cosmic time $t(0)$. The distance modulus $(m - M)_{\text{bol}}(z)$ will be considered below. The k -correction $k_{\lambda}(z)$ and e -correction $e_{\lambda}(z)$ respectively depend on the cosmology and on the evolution scenario. The extinction $A_{\lambda}(z)$ through the filter λ is derived from the total amount of metal and dust geometry. In our code PÉGASE, the extinction factor for elliptical galaxies is computed with a radiative transfer code for a dust distribution fitted on a King's profile and Draine's grain model (see Fioc 1997 for details). For spirals, the stars, gas and dust are mixed homogeneously.

We adopt the cosmological model of Friedmann-Lemaître with the Hubble constant H_0 in $\text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and the matter Ω_M and cosmological constant Ω_{Λ} density parameters. We successively consider the $H_0, \Omega_M, \Omega_{\Lambda}$ values: (65, 0.3, 0.7) for standard, (65, 0.1, 0.) for open and (65, 1., 0.) for flat universes. Note that evolutionary models are able to successfully reproduce a wide range of galaxy observables only in the open and non-null Ω_{Λ} universes.

3.1. The distance modulus

The distance modulus $(m - M)_{\text{bol}}(z)$ is related to the luminosity distance $D_L(z)$, and depends only on the cosmological parameters (Weinberg 1972). Figure 2 shows the absolute (a) to apparent (b) magnitude change, in the standard cosmology, for three spectral types (starburst, elliptical, spiral Sc). At low z , the evolutionary effects of early-type galaxies are negligible because the emission is dominated by the bulk of low-mass giant

stars. The slight luminosity increase for spirals is due to recent star formation. At higher z , evolution effects become dominant when star formation rates are more intense, in particular when $z \approx z_{\text{for}} (= 10$ in our model); the galaxy formation redshift z_{for} is the redshift when simultaneously infall and initial star formation begin.

3.2. Star formation rates and mass fractions

In the following, M_{bar} is the initial mass of the gas reservoir, the baryonic mass of the progenitor cloud. The scenarios of star formation conservatively assume star formation rates proportional to the current gas mass. The duration of star formation decreases from irregular and spiral (>10 Gyr) to elliptical (<1 Gyr) galaxies. The classical formalism is only briefly reviewed here. The number of stars formed per log mass unit and time unit is

$$d^2N(m, t) = \text{SFR}(t) \times \text{IMF}(m) d \log(m) dt.$$

$\text{SFR}(t) = M_{\text{gas}}(t)/\tau_{\text{sf}}$ is the star formation law of the galaxy where $M_{\text{gas}}(t)$ is the current gas mass of the galaxy and $1/\tau_{\text{sf}}$ is the star formation efficiency. IMF is the initial mass function and is assumed not to evolve. All variables are normalized to the mass unit of the initial cloud, so that the model outputs are multispectral luminosities $(L/M)_{\lambda}$ and mass fractions (stellar: M_{stars} , gaseous: M_{gas}). More details are given in the README of the code PÉGASE.2¹ (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997, 1999c). For simplicity, among the eight scenarios of galaxy evolution proposed by PÉGASE.2, we only select those for elliptical and spiral Sc galaxies. The extreme difference between their star formation efficiencies τ_{sf} implies different star formation durations (≈ 1 Gyr for ellipticals and >10 Gyr for spirals). This is long enough to constrain the accumulation timescales of the stellar and progenitor masses. Another reason to adopt the two scenarios is that the formation law of our spiral Sc scenario is close to the star formation law deduced from the hierarchical model by Baron & White (1987) while our law

¹ Available at <http://www.iap.fr/pegase>




Fig. 3. Evolution of mass fractions (M_{stars} , M_{gas} and $M_{\text{gal}} = M_{\text{stars}} + M_{\text{gas}} + M_{\text{remnants}}$) for elliptical **a)** and spiral **b)** galaxies. Ages in Gyr and corresponding redshifts for a standard cosmology are indicated. The formation redshift is $z_{\text{for}} = 10$. All masses vary between 0 to 1, after dividing by the initial gas cloud of mass M_{bar} . Peaks observed at about 1 Gyr in the elliptical plots result from the stopping of star formation activity by galactic winds following the infall phase. This scenario fits the template SED of $z \simeq 0$ elliptical galaxies (see text for details).

for ellipticals is drastically different to hierarchical predictions. Note that the instantaneous starburst, often adopted to model stellar populations of radio galaxy hosts (Ridgway et al. 2001; Willott et al. 2003), is unphysical. Moreover, because the time-scale is too short, the scenario gives too red colors when they are compared to those of massive elliptical galaxies, typical of powerful radio galaxy hosts.

Figure 3 presents, respectively for elliptical (a) and spiral (b) galaxies, the evolution with age and z of the galaxy mass M_{gal} and of its stellar M_{stars} and gaseous M_{gas} masses. For the two types, star formation starts at infall from the initial gas reservoir. It simultaneously initiates galaxy formation, so that the initial galaxy mass M_{gal} is null. M_{gas} measures the gas mass within the galaxy. Neutral atomic HI and molecular H_2 hydrogen are not distinguished. The stellar mass M_{stars} only corresponds to stars still alive while stellar remnant masses are taken into account in M_{remnants} . M_{gal} is the sum of M_{stars} , M_{gas} and M_{remnants} . Template elliptical scenarios are characterized by a decreasing infall time scale of 300 Myr and $\tau_{\text{sf}} = 0.3$ Gyr. Then supernovae produce strong galactic winds starting at 1 Gyr, eliminating all gaseous components and instantaneously stopping any further star formation. Note that our scenarios do not assume further inflow of cool gas from the halo. From

Fig. 3a, the elliptical scenario is then characterized by peaks of M_{gal} and M_{stars} as M_{gas} drops because galactic winds. After the age of 1 Gyr, the difference between M_{gal} and M_{stars} of ellipticals is due to the integrated mass of stellar remnants M_{remnants} . In template Sc spirals, the infall time scale is 8 Gyr and $\tau_{\text{sf}} = 10$ Gyr without galactic winds. The star formation time duration is much longer (>10 Gyr). The baryonic mass M_{bar} of the progenitor is derived by fitting the apparent K -band luminosities L_K of galaxies with the predictions $(L/M)_K \times M_{\text{bar}}$ for $10^9 \leq M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\odot} \leq 10^{13}$.

3.3. Initial mass function

The IMF parameters are the slope parameter x ($dN(m)/d \log m \propto m^x$) and the lower and upper mass limits. Three IMFs are considered. First, for Rana & Basu's (1992) IMF, the slope parameter above $1.4 M_{\odot}$ is $x = -1.51$ and $x = -1.71$ above $6.5 M_{\odot}$. Second, for Salpeter's (1959) IMF, the slope parameter is $x = -1.35$. Finally, for Gibson & Matteucci's (1997) IMF, $x = -0.8$, which greatly increases the mass of metal ejected. This "top-heavy" IMF was proposed by the authors to explain high metal abundances at the most




Fig. 4. K - z sequences for $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^9$ to $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ compared to field and radio galaxy samples in the Hubble K -band diagram. The IMF is from Rana & Basu (1992), except for $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$, the top-heavy IMF is also used (dotted line). The $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ elliptical sequence **a**) coincides with the K - z limit, up to $z > 4$. The spiral galaxy scenarios **b**) are unable to fit distant radio galaxies at high z except for $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$, this value is however unacceptable at low z .

remote epochs. For all IMFs, we adopt a lower mass of $0.1 M_{\odot}$ and an upper mass of $120 M_{\odot}$.

A truncated IMF, biased towards massive stars, with a lower mass of $1 M_{\odot}$ and a slope of -0.8 (the upper mass is unchanged) is unable to reproduce the bright K -band magnitudes of nearby elliptical galaxies: the bulk of low mass stars that dominates the stellar emission at $z = 0$ in the K -band is then missing. It will not be considered below.

4. The K - z relation

Figure 4 compares the observed distributions of galaxies in the Hubble K -band diagram with the predictions of elliptical (a) and spiral (b) models. The model sequences are computed with constant values of M_{bar} in the range 10^9 to $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ for the two scenarios. The IMF is from Rana & Basu (1992) for all sequences, except for the $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ sequence also computed with the “top-heavy” (TH) IMF.

All observations are covered by the sequences of M_{bar} between 10^9 and $10^{12} M_{\odot}$; so the initial mass of the reservoir cloud M_{bar} is the main parameter explaining the evolution of stellar masses in the Hubble K -band diagram.

Figure 4a also shows the continuous fit of the bright K - z relation by the sequence of elliptical galaxies of $M_{\text{bar,max}} \approx 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, up to $z > 4$. For spirals, the $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ sequence (Fig. 4b) is not acceptable at high z while the $10^{13} M_{\odot}$ one, possible at high z , has no match at low z .

Confirming that powerful radio galaxies are hosted by massive ellipticals (van Breugel et al. 1998; De Breuck et al. 2002; Willott et al. 2003), we also estimate their stellar M_{stars} and galaxy M_{gal} masses at all redshifts: for ellipticals at $z = 0$ (14 Gyr) (Fig. 3a) $M_{\text{stars}} \approx 55\%$ and $M_{\text{gal}} \approx 65\%$ of the initial mass M_{bar} of the gaseous progenitor; these values only vary within $\pm 10\%$ between $z = 4$ and $z = 0$. Thus $\approx 35\%$ of the baryonic mass is still in the halo and $M_{\text{remnants}} \approx 10\%$. Gas exchanges as late inflows may occasionally change these

fractions. However, depending on their efficiency, they would induce fluctuations of the star formation history incompatible with the uniformity of the K - z relation.

The scenario of spirals (Fig. 3b) gives, at $z = 0$ (14 Gyr), $M_{\text{stars}} \approx 35\%$, $M_{\text{gas}} \approx 45\%$ and $M_{\text{gal}} \approx 85\%$ of the initial mass M_{bar} . These masses rapidly evolve with redshift: at $z \approx 2$, $M_{\text{stars}} \approx 3\%$, $M_{\text{gas}} \approx 27\%$ and $M_{\text{gal}} \approx 31\%$ of the initial mass M_{bar} .

Finally, field galaxies of deep surveys are fitted either by less massive “elliptical” scenarios of $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{9.5}$ to $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ or by “spiral” scenarios of $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{10}$ to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$. The Hubble K -band diagram is alone unable to separate the two models. In particular at low z (< 0.3), the predictions of the most massive elliptical and spiral models are similar.

The K - z sequences are predicted with two other IMFs. The difference between the results computed with either Rana & Basu’s IMF or the “top-heavy” (TH) IMF is presented on the $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ sequence in Fig. 4. Figure 5 also shows the $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ sequence with the Salpeter IMF compared to Rana & Basu. The IMF effect on the K -band luminosity is less than 10% at all z .

The $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ sequence limit for massive ellipticals depends little on the formation redshift z_{for} . Changing z_{for} (≥ 6.6 , see Hu et al. 2002; Kodaira et al. 2003) in elliptical scenarios modifies the K - z relation only during the first Gyr (see Fig. 4a).

The standard cosmology ($H_0 = 65 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\text{M}} = 0.3$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$) has been adopted. However, to study the sensitivity to the cosmological model, we compare the K -band observations to evolution models in the z (and not $\log z$ as previously shown) scale (Fig. 6) for various universes. A flat universe without the cosmological constant ($H_0 = 65 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\text{M}} = 1$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$) is not compatible with the data. Both standard and open universes can fit the data; the variation of $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ given by the two models is lower than 10%.

Figure 6 is similar to Figs. 4ab and 5 but in the redshift scale and includes more data: the catalogues 7C-I and




Fig. 5. The $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ sequence shows the comparison of Rana & Basu IMF (long-dashed line) with Salpeter IMF (dotted line). The rest is similar to Fig. 4a.

7C-II (Willott et al. 2003), 7C-III (Lacy et al. 2000) and 6C* (Jarvis et al. 2001) are added to the De Breuck compilation. All our previous conclusions, in particular that the more powerful radio galaxy hosts are the more massive galaxies, up to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$, are confirmed by these data.

Emission lines from gas photoionization by massive stars are computed in our models. Figure 7 shows predictions for the main emission lines ($H\alpha$, $[\text{OIII}]\lambda 5007$, $[\text{OII}]\lambda 3727$), redshifted in the K -band and compared to the data. The K -band luminosity variation $\Delta K = K_{\text{no line}} - K_{\text{lines}}$, due to ionization by massive stars, does not exceed 0.25 mag in spirals, as in ellipticals. However, observations show stronger emission lines than model predictions: other sources of ionization (shocks and photoionization by AGN) are known to be highly efficient in radio galaxies. From the powerful NIRC and the 7C radio sources (Fig. 7), the $\Delta K/K$ relative excesses due to emission lines are $\leq 5\%$ at high z .

5. The K - z relation and the fragmentation limit

The uniform galaxy mass limit $M_{\text{bar,max}} = 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ at all redshifts from the K -band luminosities is striking. The critical mass of fragmentation for a self-gravitating cloud has been estimated by Rees & Ostriker (1977). The dynamical model predicts that the kinetic energy of infall is first thermalized via shocks; further evolution then depends on the relative cooling t_{cool} and free-fall t_{ff} time-scales. High masses in the range 10^{10} to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$, undergoing an efficient cooling, collapse at about the free-fall rate, fragment and possibly form stars while larger masses may experience a quasi-static contraction phase; they go into free fall only when they reach critical values of radius and mass. The authors evaluated the critical mass $M_{\text{crit}} \approx 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ between the two regimes that define the fragmentation limit. They also estimated the mass-independent critical size $r_{\text{crit}} \approx 75$ kpc.

The maximum baryonic mass $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ delimitating the observed cut off of the galaxy distribution in the Hubble diagram is then similar to the theoretical fragmentation limit of galaxies.

This clarifying explanation of the K - z relation is very simple. Moreover the corresponding critical size $r_{\text{crit}} \approx 75$ kpc remarkably fits the typical diameter of Ly α haloes (150 kpc) surrounding active radio galaxies. The value $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ at $z \approx 0$ is also dynamically confirmed by measurements of masses of nearby galaxies.

This correspondance between the various mass estimates emphasizes the robustness of mass determination using K -band stellar luminosities. This method of mass determination is thus potentially appropriate to all deep galaxy surveys which may improve our knowledge of mass evolution at high z .

The $M_{\text{bar,max}}$ limit for radio galaxies is also estimated from observations, up to $z > 4$ (Fig. 4), implying strong constraints on galaxy evolution models. The most massive galaxies which reach the fragmentation limit at $z \approx 4$ formed their stellar mass within 1 Gyr. This time-scale is too short to form the stellar blocks as predicted by the CDM model. However, these objects might be rare and their mode of formation exceptional. On the other hand, because distant radio galaxies are known to be in a dense medium of already evolved and luminous (masses $\approx 10^{11} M_{\odot}$?) galaxy companions, the self-gravitational model may efficiently accumulate several $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ within 1 Gyr if the cooling process is highly efficient: the dissipation by strong emission lines of the huge (diameter of ≈ 150 kpc) ionized cocoon of the radio galaxies may explain the cooling efficiency at high redshifts. Chokshi (1997) already evoked the Rees & Ostriker (1977) models to interpret distant radio galaxies, relating them to large density fluctuations undergoing isothermal free-fall and regulated by cooling H and He line emission. Her interpretation suggested intense stellar formation triggered by the radio jet. The present analysis of the Hubble K -diagram that confirms large stellar populations in distant radio galaxies is unable to affirm that massive star formation was triggered by the radio jet, in the AGN environment or outwards from the overpressured cocoon. Only high spatial resolution on extended radio galaxies will help to clarify such hypotheses.

6. Masses of radio galaxy hosts

In the literature, the stellar masses of radio galaxy hosts are tentatively related to their radio power: as an example, spheroids of stellar masses greater than $4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ are more frequently observed in radio-loud than in radio-quiet galaxies (McLure & Dunlop 2002). Willott et al. (2003) found systematic differences between the K -band magnitude distributions in the Hubble diagram of the 7C, 6CE, 6C* and 3CR catalogues of increasing radio-power. The 7C distribution is 0.55 mag fainter than the 3CR at all redshifts. Note that such a K -band magnitude difference at all redshifts means in our interpretation an average mass difference between the two catalogues. Figure 8 shows the respective mass distributions of the 3CR catalogue (empty histogram) and of the 7C catalogue (grey line histogram) computed with our models. The two distributions are limited by the mass limit $M_{\text{bar,max}} \approx 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, confirming that the limit is independent of radio power. The more radio-powerful 3CR catalogue is on average more massive ($11.7 < \log(M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\odot}) < 12.1$) than the less powerful 7C catalogue




Fig. 6. Interpretation of the K - z diagram with models of various baryonic masses as in Fig. 4 or Fig. 5 but z is in a linear scale. The catalogues 7C-I and 7C-II (Willott et al. 2003), 7C-III (Lacy et al. 2000) and 6C* (Jarvis et al. 2001), are added to the sample from De Breuck et al. (2002). The sensitivity to cosmological parameters is analyzed on the $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ sequence of elliptical galaxies (red full lines) for 3 cosmologies (open, flat and standard (flat with a cosmological constant)) universes are acceptable by data.




Fig. 7. Predictions through the K -band of the main emission lines $H\alpha$, $[OIII]\lambda 5007$, $[OII]\lambda 3727$ from photoionization by massive stars for spirals and ellipticals. Comparison data are the 3CR, 6C and 7C catalogues of radio sources (Willott et al. 2003). Galaxy ages depend on redshifts defined by lines ($z = 2.3, 3.3$ and 5 for $H\alpha$, $[OIII]$ and $[OII]$). The excess between observations and models is due to other ionization sources (shocks, AGN).

($11.5 < \log(M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\odot}) < 12.0$). The respective peaks of the two distributions differ by ~ 0.2 , corresponding to a variation

of $\Delta M_{\text{bar}} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. The variation is not significantly sensitive to cosmological or IMF effects.

The stellar and baryonic mass estimates from K -band luminosities with the help of evolution models is limited by uncertainties. According to Fig. 6, the predicted sequences computed with the open and standard universes are compatible with observations within 10% at the highest z . As previously analyzed, the stellar masses of ellipticals vary within 10% depending on the adopted IMF. Another source of uncertainty at high redshifts is the calibration at $z = 0$. Depending on templates $M_{\lambda}(0, t(0))$ that are either the brightest cluster members or averaged elliptical galaxies, the uncertainty may reach 10%. Strong emission lines at precise redshifts are seen in the Hubble K -band diagram so that they require a special fitting procedure. More uncertain is the nebular continuum of radio sources observed in the blue band (rest frame), not modelled in elliptical galaxies. On the basis of starburst models, it may be lower than 20% at $z > 4$. The global uncertainty on the upper limit of baryonic mass of galaxies given by models is $\approx 50\%$. That implies $M_{\text{bar,max}} = (1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$. For less luminous galaxies, the accuracy will depend on evolutionary scenarios by types.

7. Discussion

The main results obtained in this analysis are the following: first, the K - z relation is due to the galaxy mass limit $10^{12} M_{\odot}$; second, fitting the K - z sequence corresponds to the fragmentation limit predicted by gravitational models; finally, we are able




Fig. 8. Baryonic masses of 3C and 7C galaxies estimated from the elliptical scenario. The more radio-powerful 3CR galaxies (empty histogram) are on average more massive than the less radio-powerful 7C galaxies (grey line histogram). The difference $\Delta[\log(M_{\text{bar}}/M_{\odot})] \approx 0.2$ corresponds to $\Delta M_{\text{bar}} \approx 2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$.

to identify massive galaxies of $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ at redshifts $z \approx 4$. The mass limit from Rees & Ostriker (1977) based on dynamical arguments validates the baryonic mass determination based on the K -band luminosity.

- Estimates are obtained using star formation scenarios with infall from the baryonic halo, winds, active and passive stellar evolutions. The star formation rate is proportional to the gas amount. We confirm that only the star formation scenarios of elliptical galaxies are able to reproduce the stellar populations of the most powerful radio galaxy hosts. The robustness of our model PÉGASE is to predict colors and SEDs of the typical observed templates at $z = 0$. Statistical values of such colors were estimated after corrections (inclination, extinction, aperture) by Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange (1999b). Scenarios of star formation, galactic winds, infall, also respecting metal and dust evolution constraints in PÉGASE, allow us to predict the details of the evolution of the various mass components (Fig. 3). Previous studies already tested the validity of the scenarios at higher z . The U to K multi-spectral faint galaxy counts from the HDF-N and Hawaii surveys were fitted with these scenarios (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1999a). Another constraint of the validity of the scenarios is given by the photometric redshifts computed with the code Z-PEG. Used as high z templates, evolutionary scenarios give photometric redshifts close to spectroscopic redshifts with $\sigma < 0.1$ (Le Borgne & Rocca-Volmerange 2002). Does the observed dispersion of the K - z relation weaken our results? One fundamental property of the relation is its uniformity (Lilly & Longair 1984) with an increase of the dispersion at redshifts $z > 2$ (Eales et al. 1997; Lacy et al. 2000). McCarthy et al. (1999) compared the present samples (3CR, 6C and NIRC) to the Molonglo (MRC/1 Jy) sample, complete in the sense of containing >99% of all

sources above its flux limit ($S_{408 \text{ MHz}} > 0.95 \text{ Jy}$). The authors conclude to the consistency of the 3CR, MRC and 6C samples within 1σ at $z < 0.5$. At higher z , Willott et al. (2003) combine the 6C, 6C* samples and the 7C sample, complete above the flux limit ($S_{151 \text{ MHz}} > 0.5 \text{ Jy}$) in three regions of the sky. They confirm the homogeneity of the stellar populations formed at high z and following a passive evolution. The variation from $z = 0.05$ to 3 is lower than 5%, in agreement with Jarvis et al. (2001). They also found that the interpretation by using two models (no-evolution and $z_{\text{for}} = 5$) are not in agreement with the data. We do not study them in the present paper. Their conclusion is that the decrease of radio galaxy masses at high redshifts is small, presuming a very rapid evolution for radio sources at high z .

- Regarding the debate on the IMF as the explanation for divergences between observations and models, we confirm that K magnitudes are faintly sensitive to the IMF (Tinsley 1972). However, a reasonable value of the lower mass is essential since the bulk of stars appearing in the K -band at $z = 0$ is made up of low mass stars. The value of $0.1 M_{\odot}$, adopted for all IMFs, is compatible with the data and with theoretical star formation principles. By respecting this constraint on the lower star limit, changing the IMF (including top-heavy) slope will not significantly modify our conclusions within the error bars.
- Remarks about the comparison of dissipative collapse and hierarchical merging scenarios are still preliminary. However, some general ideas may be derived from our conclusions. Stellar masses predicted at $z = 0$ for ellipticals and spirals (respectively 55% and >45% of the initial cloud of mass M_{bar}) are in agreement with typical values for nearby field galaxies. They range from $10^9 M_{\odot}$ for dwarf to $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ for giant galaxies. Field galaxies correspond to long time-scales ($\geq 10 \text{ Gyr}$) of less massive clouds, which may reach by hierarchical merging a final mass of $10^{12} M_{\odot}$, the fragmentation limit. The time-scales of mass accumulation, gas depletion and star formation of massive ellipticals at high z are, however, incompatible with building blocks of $10^5 M_{\odot}$, proposed by the CDM model. Our results cannot exclude some merging process of massive (a few $10^{11} M_{\odot}$) blocks in the dense environment of radio galaxies or proto-clusters.
- Is the presence of black holes in radio sources linked to host elliptical formation? To interpret observations of massive elliptical galaxies at high z requires the accumulation of the dynamical mass on a short time-scale. It was suggested that the embedded black hole is associated with a rapid mass accumulation and star formation process. The more massive the black hole, the deeper the potential well; this does not necessarily favor short time-scales for accumulation of baryonic and stellar mass in the environment of the potential well. In the absence of a magnetic flux, a massive cloud evolves as a single unit, after having shed its angular momentum via instabilities. The dense environment may favor the apparition of a black hole (Silk & Rees 1998). The high density of galaxy companions within the ionized halos of distant radio galaxies (of critical size

150 kpc) has been shown by integral field spectroscopy (Rocca-Volmerange et al. 1994), and narrow-band imaging of the Ly α line (Kurk et al. 2003). Moreover the interactive role of a radio jet to trigger star formation is still a debated subject. Such an hypothesis is favored by the observational relations between black hole masses and absolute blue luminosities or velocity dispersion (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Magorrian et al. 1988). However, it is too early to identify the nature of the relation between stellar and black hole masses (McLure & Dunlop 2002). The bulk of star formation could happen during the free-fall phase while the active nucleus is fueled. The black hole and the stellar mass would then simultaneously grow; details of such processes would, however, need clarification. Recent deep X-ray surveys which follow the evolution of accretion onto supermassive black holes confirm two modes of accretion and of black hole growth. While the rare, high luminosity QSOs could form rather early in the universe, a late evolution of low-luminosity Seyfert populations is required by observations (Hasinger et al. 2003). Because high luminosity QSOs are embedded in massive elliptical galaxies while low-luminosity Seyfert galaxies are preferentially found in spiral galaxies, the two modes of star formation derived from the K -band Hubble diagram can be related to the two accretion modes derived from X-rays. Moreover the K -band Hubble diagram of sub-mm and hyperluminous galaxies (Serjeant et al. 2003) recently confirms that the location of galaxies on the K - z relation may be related to the presence of the most massive AGNs, in perfect agreement with our conclusions.

A new factor of uncertainty could be the ultra-violet continuum of radio sources. However, because galaxies are observed in the K band, only radio galaxies at $z > 4$ will be affected by this effect.

Complementary constraints are awaited from improved spatial resolution (morphology, surface brightness, velocity fields). The $(1+z)^4$ surface brightness fading biases high z observations towards bulges rather than disks. This may explain why, at $z \simeq 4$, field galaxies appear on lower M_{bar} sequences (10^9 to $10^{11.5} M_{\odot}$) than radio galaxies of high surface brightnesses. We may also evoke the high density of galaxies within their diameter-limited sample to explain massive $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ radio sources at high redshift. Only a better spatial resolution, as given by integral field units on the new generation of telescopes, will clarify the spatial confusion of the central radio galaxy and its galaxy companions.

The baryonic mass predicted by our modeling of luminosity evolution is a minimal value. From Fig. 3 at $z \simeq 0$ (14 Gyr), a mass fraction $1 - M_{\text{gal}}$ (35% for ellipticals, 10% for spirals) is still in the halo. The galaxy halo could also contain another baryonic component (such as hot gas), not taken into account in our mass budget.

8. Conclusion

The main results of this analysis are the following:

1. Stellar masses of galaxies, and the corresponding baryonic masses of their progenitor clouds, are estimated from

the L_K luminosities at any z using robust scenarios of star formation evolution.

2. The brightest luminosity limit of the Hubble K -band diagram corresponds to the most massive elliptical galaxies of baryonic masses $M_{\text{bar,max}} \simeq 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ (about 55% is in stellar mass at $z = 0$). These are the typical hosts of powerful radio galaxies.
3. The maximum limit of baryonic mass $M_{\text{bar,max}} \simeq 10^{12} M_{\odot}$, estimated from the stellar luminosity in the K -band, corresponds to the dynamical parameter M_{crit} , the fragmentation limit of the cooling-gravitation balance of a self-gravitating cloud. This confirms the validity of the mass estimates from evolution scenarios.
4. Radio galaxies of $10^{12} M_{\odot}$ are found at $z > 4$ with star formation and mass accumulation time-scales shorter than 1 Gyr. The radius of the ionized cocoon is about $r_{\text{crit}} = 75$ kpc. Such extreme time-scales in powerful radio galaxies suggest that the formation of a massive black hole embedded in the host galaxy is not independent of the star formation process.
5. The choice of the IMF slope is not crucial but the lower star mass needs to be $\simeq 0.1$ to form the bulk of low mass stars observed in templates of elliptical galaxies at $z = 0$.
6. Galaxy deep surveys are covered by sequences of lower baryonic masses from $M_{\text{bar}} = 10^9$ to $10^{11} M_{\odot}$ in which the evolution by hierarchical merging, if it is proven, remains however mass limited by fragmentation.

For the future, we will need to apply such a method of mass determination to the galaxy populations of large surveys, in particular discovered either by SIRTf or by the ground-based 10 m telescopes in the stellar infra-red to follow the evolution processes of galaxies.

Acknowledgements. We would like to warmly thank the referee Carol Lonsdale for her constructive and detailed comments. European Community programmes (Marie-Curie fellowship for CdB and TMR POE fellowship for EM) helped significantly to achieve the present work.

References

- Baron, E., & White, S. 1987, ApJ, 322, 585
 Chokshi, A. 1997, ApJ, 491, 78
 Cohen, J., Hogg, D., Blandford, R. D., et al. 2000, ApJ, 538, 29
 Cowie, L. L., Songaila, A., & Barger, A. J. 1999, ApJ, 118, 603
 De Breuck, C. 2000, Ph.D. Thesis, Leiden University
 De Breuck, C., van Breugel, W., Stanford, S. A., et al. 2002, AJ, 123, 637
 Dey, A., & Spinrad, H. 1996, ApJ, 459, 133
 Dickinson, M., Papovich, C., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2003, ApJ, 587, 25
 Eales, S., & Rawlings, S. 1996, ApJ, 460, 68
 Eales, S., Rawlings, S., Law-Green, D., Cotter, G., & Lacy, M. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 593
 Egami, E., Armus, L., Neugebauer, G., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1038
 Fernández-Soto, A., Lanzetta, K., & Yahil, A. 1999, ApJ, 513, 34
 Ferrarese, L., & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
 Fioc, M. 1997, Thèse de l'Université Paris-Sud, Orsay
 Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1997, A&A, 326, 950

- Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1999a, *A&A*, 344, 393
Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1999b, *A&A*, 351, 869
Fioc, M., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 1999c [astro-ph/9912179]
Gebhardt, K., Bender, R., Bower, G., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 539, L13
Genzel, R., Baker, A., Tacconi, L., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 633
Gibson, B. K., & Matteucci, F. 1997, *MNRAS*, 291, L8
Hasinger, G. 2003, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 666, 227
Hu, E., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, L75
Jarvis, M., Rawlins, S., Eales, S., et al. 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 1585
Inskip, K., Best, P., Longair, M., et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 329, 277
Kodaira, K., et al. 2003 [astro-ph/0301096]
Kukula, M. J., Dunlop, J. S., & McLure, R. J. 2002, *NewAR*, 46, 171
Kurk, J., Venemans, B., Röttgering, H., Miley, G., & Pentericci, L. [astro-ph/0309675]
Lacy, M., Bunker, A. J., & Ridgway, S. E. 2000, *ApJ*, 120, L68
Laing, R., Riley, J., & Longair, M. 1983, *MNRAS*, 204, 151
Le Borgne, D., & Rocca-Volmerange, B. 2002, *A&A*, 386, 446
Longair, M. S., & Lilly, S. J. 1984, *JApA*, 5, 349
McLure, R. J., & Dunlop, J. 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 795
McCarthy, P. 1999, *The most distant radio galaxies*, ed. H. Röttgering, P. Best, & M. Lehnert (Amsterdam: VNE), 49, 5
Magorrian, J., Tremaine, S., Richstone, D., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 2285
Papovich, C., Dickinson, M., & Ferguson, H. 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 620
Pentericci, L., McCarthy, P., Röttgering, H., et al. 2001, *ApJS*, 135, 63
Rana, N. C., & Basu, S. 1992, *A&A*, 265, 499
Rees, M., & Ostriker, J. 1977, *MNRAS*, 179, 541
Ridgway, S. E., Heckman, T. M., Calzetti, D., & Lehnert, M. 2001, *ApJ*, 550, 122
Rocca-Volmerange, B. 2001, *The mass of galaxies at low and high redshifts*, ed. R. Bender, & A. Renzini (Springer), p. 246
Rocca-Volmerange, B., Adam, G., Ferruit, P., & Bacon, R. 1994, *A&A*, 292, 20
Salpeter, E. E. 1959, *ApJ*, 129, 608
Saracco, P., Longhetti, M., Giallongo, et al. 2003 [astro-ph/0310131]
Serjeant, S., Farrah, D., Geach, J., et al. [astro-ph-0310661]
Silk, J. 1977, *ApJ*, 211, 638
Silk, J., & Rees, M. J. 1998, *A&A*, 331, L1
Songaila, A., Cowie, L., Hu, E., et al. 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 461
Tinsley, B. M. 1972, *ApJ*, 178, 319
van Breugel, W., Stanford, S., Spinrad, H., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 502, 614
van Ojik, R., Roettgering, H. J. A., Carilli, C. L., et al. 1996, *A&A*, 313, 25
Venemans, B. P., Hurk, J. D., Miley, G. K., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 569, 11
Williams, R. E., Blacker, B., Dickinson, M., et al. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1335
Willott, C., Rawlings, S., Jarvis, M., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 173
Zirm, A. W., Dickinson, M., & Dey, A. 2003, *ApJ*, 585, 90