

## Discovery of a magnetic field in the early B-type star $\sigma$ Lupi<sup>★,★★</sup>

H. F. Henrichs<sup>1,2</sup>, K. Kolenberg<sup>3,4</sup>, B. Plaggenborg<sup>1</sup>, S. C. Marsden<sup>5,6</sup>, I. A. Waite<sup>7</sup>, J. D. Landstreet<sup>8,9</sup>, G. A. Wade<sup>10</sup>,  
J. H. Grunhut<sup>10</sup>, M. E. Oksala<sup>11</sup>, and the MiMeS collaboration

<sup>1</sup> Astronomical Institute “Anton Pannekoek”, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
e-mail: h.f.henrichs@uva.nl

<sup>2</sup> Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP Radboud University Nijmegen, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>4</sup> Instituut voor Sterrenkunde, K. U. Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

<sup>5</sup> Australian Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 296, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

<sup>6</sup> Centre for Astronomy, School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, James Cook University, 4811 Townsville, Australia

<sup>7</sup> Faculty of Sciences, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Qld 4350, Australia

<sup>8</sup> Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada

<sup>9</sup> Armagh Observatory, College Hill, Armagh, BT61 9DG, Northern Ireland

<sup>10</sup> Dept. of Physics, Royal Military College of Canada, PO Box 17000, Station Forces, Kingston, Ontario, Canada

<sup>11</sup> Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

Received 20 May 2012 / Accepted 19 July 2012

### ABSTRACT

**Context.** Magnetic early B-type stars are rare. Indirect indicators are needed to identify them before investing in time-intensive spectropolarimetric observations.

**Aims.** We use the strongest indirect indicator of a magnetic field in B stars, which is periodic variability of ultraviolet (UV) stellar wind lines occurring symmetric about the approximate rest wavelength. Our aim is to identify probable magnetic candidates which would become targets for follow-up spectropolarimetry to search for a magnetic field.

**Methods.** From the UV wind line variability the B1/B2V star  $\sigma$  Lupi emerged as a new magnetic candidate star. AAT spectropolarimetric measurements with SEMPOL were obtained. The longitudinal component of the magnetic field integrated over the visible surface of the star was determined with the least-squares deconvolution method.

**Results.** The UV line variations of  $\sigma$  Lupi are similar to what is known in magnetic B stars, but no periodicity could be determined. We detected a varying longitudinal magnetic field with amplitude of about 100 G with error bars of typically 20 G, which supports an oblique magnetic-rotator configuration. The equivalent width variations of the UV lines, the magnetic and the optical-line variations are consistent with the photometric period of 3.02 d, which we identify with the rotation period of the star. Additional observations with ESPaDOnS attached to the CFHT confirmed this discovery, and allowed the determination of a precise magnetic period. Analysis revealed that  $\sigma$  Lupi is a helium-strong star, with an enhanced nitrogen abundance and an underabundance of carbon, and has a chemically spotted surface.

**Conclusions.**  $\sigma$  Lupi is a magnetic oblique rotator, and is a He-strong star. Like in other magnetic B stars the UV wind emission appears to originate close to the magnetic equatorial plane, with maximum emission occurring when a magnetic pole points towards the Earth. The  $3.01972 \pm 0.00043$  d magnetic rotation period is consistent with the photometric period, with maximum light corresponding to maximum magnetic field.

**Key words.** stars: early-type – stars: magnetic field – stars: winds, outflows – stars: rotation – starspots – stars: abundances

## 1. Introduction

The star  $\sigma$  Lupi (HD 127381, HR 5425, HIP 71121) has been classified as spectral type B2III by Hiltner et al. (1969). Other classifications include B2V (de Vaucouleurs 1957) and B2III/IV

(Houk 1978). Recently, Levenhagen & Leister (2006) concluded that B1/B2V would be more appropriate, based on the photospheric parameters evaluated in their work.

Although Shobbrook (1978) found no photometric variations, Vander Linden & Sterken (1987) reported a significant amplitude in variations in the V band of 0.01 mag. This emerged during a photometric campaign in which  $\sigma$  Lup was used as a comparison star. In a follow-up study Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992) collected about one-hundred *uvby* observations in 1986 and found two significant peaks in the periodogram: at  $P = 3.02$  d and at an alias period of 1.49 d. They also analysed the previous dataset of Vander Linden & Sterken (1987), consisting of 22 observations between 1983 and 1984, and found the same periodicity, allowing them to improve the accuracy of the main period. Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992) concluded that the star may be an ellipsoidal variable, but that the 3.02 d period could

\* Based on observations obtained by the International Ultraviolet Explorer, collected at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and Villafraanca Satellite Tracking Station of the European Space Agency. Optical observations have been obtained at the Anglo Australian Telescope (AAT) and at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council of Canada, the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique of France, and the University of Hawaii.

\*\* The SMARTS 0.9 m photometry is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/545/A119>

not be ruled out to be caused by rotation or by high overtone  $g$ -mode pulsations. They found that rotational modulation is a reasonable working hypothesis for the star. So far there exists no pulsation study or abundance analysis. The star has been found to be a photometric variable in the HIPPARCOS data (Koen & Eyer 2002) with a frequency of  $10.93482 \text{ d}^{-1}$  and amplitude 0.0031 mag in  $V$ , based on a sinusoid fit to the 143 datapoints. The nature of this periodicity is not clear.

In the course of our investigation of the role of magnetic fields in massive stars a number of indirect magnetic indicators have been identified (Henrichs et al. 2005). The most significant indicator is a strictly periodic variation in the well-known UV stellar wind resonance lines, in particular C IV, Si IV, N V and Al III. The variation observed in these lines is, in these magnetic objects, restricted to a velocity interval symmetric around the rest wavelength, in contrast to the often observed high-velocity absorption variations seen in many O and B stars (see e.g. Kaper et al. 1997; Fullerton 2003). This symmetric low-velocity variability in the UV wind lines of B stars is encountered among all studied magnetic oblique rotators (see examples in Fig. 1) which include many helium peculiar stars with spectral type around B1/B2 for the He-strong stars and around B7 for the He-weak stars. Prime examples are the He-strong B2V star HD 184927 where the varying UV lines (repeated in Fig. 1) were discovered by Barker (1986), and the He weak B7IIIp star  $\alpha$  Scl, of which the rotation period was discovered by Shore et al. (1990) from UV data (repeated in Fig. 1). To the group of early B-type stars with this same specific UV variations also belong  $\beta$  Cep (B1IV), V2052 Oph (B2IV-V) and  $\zeta$  Cas (B2IV): respectively a  $\beta$  Cephei variable, a He-strong  $\beta$  Cephei star and an SPB (slowly pulsating B) star. The UV wind lines of these three stars and of the magnetic B stars were recognised to behave very similarly, which prompted a search for a magnetic field in these stars. After the prediction by Henrichs et al. (1993), all three were indeed found to be magnetic (Henrichs et al. 2000; Neiner et al. 2003a,b), based on observations obtained with the Musicos spectropolarimeter (Donati et al. 1999). The dipolar field strengths at the equator of these stars are less than 1000 G. It should be noted that the very accurately determined rotation periods of these stars cover a rather wide range: 12.00 d, 5.37 d and 3.64 d for  $\beta$  Cep, V2052 Oph and  $\zeta$  Cas, respectively.

Grady et al. (1987) already noted C IV variations in IUE spectra of  $\sigma$  Lup similar to those in  $\zeta$  Cas (long before the magnetic origin of this behaviour was recognised), but it appears now that the two spectra on which this conclusion was based were severely overexposed, inhibiting reliable quantitative results.

No X-ray or radio flux from  $\sigma$  Lup has been detected, both indicators of a magnetic environment around the star. An upper limit of  $10^{30.38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  on the X-ray luminosity was obtained with the ROSAT satellite (Berghöfer et al. 1996).

In this paper we report the analysis of the stellar wind lines of  $\sigma$  Lup and show that the variations observed in 4 subsequently taken IUE spectra are without much doubt due to a corotating magnetosphere. In light of the above, we adopt the photometric period of 3.02 d as the rotation period of the star, following the conclusion by Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992). We reanalyse all available photometric data in Sect. 3. In Sect. 4 we review the UV results. In Sects. 5 and 6 we report on magnetic follow-up measurements of this star which confirmed our conjecture. In Sect. 7 we investigate the variations in equivalent width of selected spectral lines. The spectra allowed a subsequent abundance analysis, which we present in Sect. 8. In the last section we summarise and discuss our conclusions.

**Table 1.** Adopted and derived stellar parameters for  $\sigma$  Lup.

		Reference
Spectral type	B1/B2V	1
$V$	4.416	2
$d$ (pc)	$176_{-20}^{+26}$	3
$\log(L/L_{\odot})$	$3.76 \pm 0.06$	1
$T_{\text{eff}}$ (K)	$23\,000 \pm 550$	1
$\log g$ ( $\text{cm s}^{-2}$ )	$4.02 \pm 0.10$	1
$R/R_{\odot}$	$4.8 \pm 0.5$	Sect. 2
$M/M_{\odot}$	$9.0 \pm 0.5$	1
$\log \text{Age}$ (yr)	$7.13 \pm 0.13$	1
$v \sin i$ ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )	$68 \pm 6$	Sect. 8
$P_{\text{phot}}$ (d)	$3.01938 \pm 0.00022$	Sect. 3
$P_{\text{magn}}$ (d)	$3.01972 \pm 0.00043$	Sect. 6
$v_{\text{rad}}$ ( $\text{km s}^{-1}$ )	$0.0 \pm 0.5$	Sect. 8

Notes. 1 Levenhagen & Leister (2006) – 2 SIMBAD – 3 van Leeuwen (2007) – 4 Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992).

## 2. Stellar properties


According to the Bright Star Catalogue (Hoffleit & Jaschek 1991)  $\sigma$  Lup is a member of the local association Pleiades group. The adopted stellar parameters and other relevant observational aspects are collected in Table 1. We have adopted the results of the recent analysis based on high-quality spectra by Levenhagen & Leister (2006), rather than the extrapolated and derived values as presented by Prinja (1989), who adopted a much lower effective temperature (17500 K) and therefore a much larger radius ( $8.8 R_{\odot}$ ) to be consistent with the luminosity (which has a comparable value in the two papers). Sokolov (1995) derived  $T_{\text{eff}} = 25\,980 \pm 3250$  K from the slope of the Balmer continuum, consistent with the temperature of Levenhagen & Leister (2006). They derived a projected rotational velocity of  $v \sin i = 80 \pm 14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , whereas Brown & Verschueren (1997) found  $v \sin i = 69 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Our analysis (Sect. 8) gives very similarly  $68 \pm 6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which we adopt in this paper. With the stellar parameters in Table 1 we derive a radius of the star between 4.25 and  $5.37 R_{\odot}$  which is consistent with the radius of  $4.5 R_{\odot}$  measured by Pasinetti Fracassini et al. (2001). This corresponds to the calculated value of  $P/\sin i$  of  $3.0 \pm 0.9$  d, compatible with the main photometric period, which we identify therefore with the rotational period of the star.

## 3. Photometric analysis and new observations


Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992) determined the period  $P = 3.0186 \pm 0.0004$  d from the two photometric datasets obtained as part of the Long-term Photometry of Variables (LTPV) programme at ESO (Manfroid et al. 1991). If we define maximum light as phase zero and take the weighted average of all the reported epochs of maximum light in all four photometric colors of these two datasets, we find for the photometric ephemeris:

$$T(\text{LTPV}) = \text{HJD } 2\,445\,603.65 \pm 0.11 \\ + n \times (3.0186 \pm 0.0004) \quad (1)$$

with  $n$  the number of cycles. Since Koen & Eyer (2002) did not find this period in the HIPPARCOS data, we reconsidered the dataset. By using a CLEAN analysis (Roberts et al. 1987) we verified that the 3 d period is indeed not present. However, there are two obvious outliers at HJD 2 448 309.52 and 2 448 818.90 which deviate more  $86\sigma$  and  $26\sigma$ , respectively. After removal of these two points, 158 measurements are left, spread over three



**Fig. 1.** Gallery of magnetic B stars with signatures of magnetically-confined stellar winds in the C IV line. The typical modulation in the known magnetic B stars, the He-strong star HD 184927 (*top left*) and the He-weak star  $\alpha$  Scl (*bottom left*) is very similar to that observed in the four stars  $\beta$  Cep, V2052 Oph,  $\zeta$  Cas (see text for references) and  $\sigma$  Lup (this paper), which led to the discoveries of their magnetic fields. Note that rotation period, pulsation properties and helium peculiarity differ. In each figure the upper panel shows an overplot of all available International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) spectra, taken over many rotational cycles. The *lower panel* displays the ratio of the observed variation to the expected variation (due to the noise), showing the velocity range in the stellar rest frame within which significant variations occur. Note that the whole profile moves up and down, approximately symmetrically with respect to zero velocity. This phenomenon is only observed in magnetic early-type stars.



**Fig. 2.** LTPV with  $y$  filter (red dots) and HIPPARCOS (black squares) photometry as a function of phase with the best fit sinusoid overlotted. Estimated error bars are about 5 mmag.

years. A new CLEAN analysis revealed the strongest peak near 3.020 d, which is the same as the period from the ground-based observations. A least-squares fit gives  $P_{\text{Hipparcos}} = 3.01899 \pm 0.00064$  d with amplitude  $3.5 \pm 0.4$  mmag, very similar to the values of Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992). A combined fit of ground- and space-based photometry, after normalising the average magnitude of the two datasets where we only used the Strömgren  $y$  (comparable to the  $H_p$  magnitude), gives as the best-fit photometric period  $P_{\text{photometric}} = 3.01938 \pm 0.00022$  d with amplitude  $3.1 \pm 0.5$  mmag. Maximum light occurs at:

$$T(\text{LTPV} + \text{Hipp}) = \text{HJD } 2\,447\,620.48 \pm 0.56 + n \times (3.01938 \pm 0.00022). \quad (2)$$

The best fit cosine function is overlotted on the phased data in Fig. 2.

As an attempt to reproduce the photometric light curve of Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992), we conducted five nights of Strömgren  $v$  filter photometry of  $\sigma$  Lup, using the 0.9 m CTIO telescope operated by the SMARTS<sup>1</sup> consortium with a  $2048 \times 2048$  CCD detector. Although  $\sigma$  Lup is a bright star, the associated errors, both instrumental and atmospheric, are at or beyond the amplitude of the reported variability. We are thus unable with this instrumentation to contribute any further information regarding the photometric period. Furthermore,  $\sigma$  Lup lacks a suitable nearby comparison star, making differential photometry a difficult task.

#### 4. UV observations

An earlier UV observation with the OAO-2 satellite was reported by Panek & Savage (1976), who measured the equivalent widths of the Si IV and C IV doublets ( $4.5 \text{ \AA}$  and  $1.0 \text{ \AA}$ ).


Table 2 contains a list of all available high-resolution UV spectra taken with the IUE satellite between 1983 and 1993. The first two spectra had to be discarded in our analysis, as they were severely overexposed, which prevented reliable results in the regions of the stellar wind lines of interest because of the saturation and missing portions of the reduced spectra. Figure 3 shows overplots of regions around the resonance lines of N V, Si IV, and C IV, along with the significance of their variability. The C III complex near  $1175 \text{ \AA}$  also shows similar variability but in this wavelength region the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) is too low to derive quantitative results. No other region in the

<sup>1</sup> The Small and Moderate Aperture Research Telescope System (<http://www.astro.yale.edu/smarts/>).

**Table 2.** Journal of high resolution IUE observations of  $\sigma$  Lup, and the measured equivalent widths.


Image SWP	Date	HJD <sup>1</sup> −2 440 000	$t_{\text{exp}}$ s	Phase <sup>2</sup>	$EW$ (N V) ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$EW$ (Si IV) ( $\text{\AA}$ )	$EW$ (C IV) ( $\text{\AA}$ )
					[−250, 250] $\text{km s}^{-1}$	[−300, 300] $\text{km s}^{-1}$	[−200, 200] $\text{km s}^{-1}$
20261	1983-06-19	5505.242	109.6	0.637	—	—	—
22094	1984-01-25	5724.656	99.8	0.297	—	—	—
45218	1992-07-24	8827.829	45.6	0.935	$0.163 \pm 0.073$	$1.43 \pm 0.11$	$0.51 \pm 0.10$
47374	1993-03-28	9074.610	49.8	0.658	$0.469 \pm 0.067$	$1.96 \pm 0.10$	$1.08 \pm 0.09$
47881	1993-06-16	9155.283	49.8	0.374	$-0.060 \pm 0.077$	$1.32 \pm 0.11$	$0.16 \pm 0.11$
48225	1993-07-24	9193.076	47.8	0.889	$0.095 \pm 0.074$	$1.46 \pm 0.11$	$0.57 \pm 0.10$

**Notes.** 1. Calculated at mid exposure. – 2. Rotational phase, calculated with Eq. (5).



**Fig. 3.** Overplot of the four selected profiles of N v (*top*), Si iv (*middle*), and C iv (*bottom*) of  $\sigma$  Lup. The two doublet rest wavelengths are indicated by vertical dashed lines. Top scale: wavelength. Bottom scale: velocity with respect to the stellar rest frame. In each panel the lower part displays the significance of the variability, expressed as the square root of the ratio of the measured to the expected variances. The changes are very similar to what is observed in known magnetic B stars (see Fig. 1), but not in other B stars.

spectrum between 1150 and 1900  $\text{\AA}$  showed significant variability. We sampled the spectra upon a uniform grid with 0.1  $\text{\AA}$  spacing (degrading the resolution somewhat), and locally transformed the wavelength into a velocity scale in the stellar rest frame, while correcting for the (small) radial velocity of the star. The wavelengths of the strongest member of the doublet lines were taken as the zero point. We normalised the average flux



**Fig. 4.** Equivalent widths of the N v (*top*), Si iv (*middle*) and C iv (*bottom*) line profiles as a function of magnetic phase (Eq. (5)).

of the whole spectrum by using only portions of the spectrum which were not affected by stellar wind variability or by echelle order overlap mismatches. The overall flux level did not differ more than 2% for the 4 spectra, likely within the expected photometric uncertainty of the instrument. We note that the absolute fluxes agree well with those measured with the OAO-2 satellite (Code & Meade 1979). The lower panels in the figures display the quantity  $\sigma_{\text{obs}}/\sigma_{\text{exp}}$ , which is the ratio of the observed to the expected value of sigma, the square root of the variance. The expected value of  $\sigma$  was calculated with a noise model as a function of the flux  $f$  applicable for high-resolution IUE spectra (Henrichs et al. 1994) in the form of  $A \tanh(f/B)$  with best-fit parameters  $A = 19$ , representing the maximum signal to noise ratio, and  $B = 7.8 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ , representing the average of all flux levels outside the regions with variability (intrinsic or instrumental) for this star.

All doublet lines show significant variations in the velocity interval [−200, +200]  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ . For all three ions the absorption line profiles in image number SWP 47374 are much deeper than in the other spectra, whereas the lines in SWP 47881 have the highest relative flux. This parallel behaviour excludes an instrumental effect as the origin. It exclusively occurs in magnetic B stars, like the examples in Fig. 1, which made this star a prime candidate for magnetic measurements.

Table 2 gives the equivalent-width measurements of the three wind lines over the velocity interval indicated. The listed values are the sum of the equivalent widths of the two doublet members. The continuum was taken at the averaged flux level of the two end points of the interval. The error bars were calculated with the method of Chalabaev & Maillard (1983).


The calculated phases of the IUE observations are given in Col. 5 of Table 2, where we used Eq. (5) below, in which phase 0 corresponds to maximum (positive) magnetic field, and apparently also maximum light (see Sect. 6.2). From the figure it is obvious that all lines behave in the same manner, and that the two points near phase 0.9 have very similar values, which we interpret as a confirmation of the periodicity. Depending on the inclination angle  $i$  and the magnetic obliquity  $\beta$ , a single or double sine wave with possibly unequal minima would be expected to fit the phased data, but with four points this is impossible to verify. The lowest absorption (or highest emission, as the lines become filled in) appears around phase 0.4, i.e. compatible with minimum light. Based on the UV behaviour of other hot, magnetic stars, this would occur when a magnetic pole points to the observer. This is opposite to what we would naively expect for a lightcurve with a single minimum. We expect that maximum absorption occurs when the magnetic equatorial plane, where most of the circumstellar material will be collected, intercepts the line-of-sight to the star.

## 5. Magnetic observations and properties

Initial spectropolarimetric observations in both left- and right-hand circularly polarised light were obtained at the Anglo-Australian Telescope (AAT) at six observing epochs, as shown in Table 3. These were obtained using the SEMPOL spectropolarimeter (Semel 1989; Donati et al. 2003) on the AAT in conjunction with the University College London Échelle Spectrograph (UCLES). SEMPOL was positioned at the AAT's  $f/8$  Cassegrain focus and consists of an aberration-free beam splitter and an achromatic  $\lambda/4$  plate orientated to transmit circularly polarised light. SEMPOL splits the observed light into left-hand and right-hand circular polarisation and feeds it down twin fibres, which is fed into a Bowen-Walraven image slicer at the entrance to the high-resolution UCLES spectrograph. The  $\lambda/4$  plate can be rotated from  $+45^\circ$  to  $-45^\circ$  with respect to the beam splitter to alternate the polarisation in each fibre. In practice, four subexposures are obtained, alternating the wave plate orientation between  $\pm 45^\circ$ . These subexposures are combined in such a way as to eliminate spurious contributions to the polarisation spectrum. Further information on the operation of the SEMPOL spectropolarimeter is provided by Semel et al. (1993), Semel (1989) and Donati et al. (1997, 2003).

The detector used was a deep depletion EEV2 CCD with  $2048 \times 2048 \times 13.5 \mu\text{m}$  square pixels. The UCLES was used with a  $31.6 \text{ gr/mm}$  grating covering 46 orders (#84 to #129). The central wavelength was set to  $\sim 526.8 \text{ nm}$  with a coverage from  $\sim 437.7 \text{ nm}$  to  $\sim 681.5 \text{ nm}$  with a resolution of  $\sim 71\,000$ .

Prompted by the magnetic detection in these spectra (see Fig. 5), subsequent measurements were obtained with ESPaDOnS at the Canadian-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), as part of the Magnetism in Massive Stars (MiMeS) Large Program. The ESPaDOnS spectropolarimeter and observing procedure are fundamentally similar to the SEMPOL/UCLES combination, providing circularly polarised spectra with a resolving power  $R \sim 65\,000$  from  $\sim 370$  to  $1000 \text{ nm}$ . A total of 6 AAT spectra and 20 CFHT spectra were acquired, with



**Fig. 5.** Discovery observations of the Zeeman signature in  $\sigma$  Lupi at the AAT on December 28, 2007, (top) and four days later (bottom), at magnetic phases 0.14 and 0.47, respectively. Each figure shows the LSD Stokes unpolarised  $I$  (lower panel) and circularly polarised  $V$  (top panel) profiles of SEMPOL spectra, all normalised by the continuum. The middle panel displays the (null)  $N$  profile for integrity purposes. The integrated Zeeman signature in the  $V$  profile over the width of the line (between the outer vertical dashed lines) gives the value of the longitudinal component of the magnetic field, integrated over the stellar surface. On the first night we obtained  $B_l = 79 \pm 20 \text{ G}$ , whereas on the second night the  $V$  signature changed sign, and we obtained  $B_l = -69 \pm 27 \text{ G}$ . Note the irregular bumps in the  $I$  profiles, which indicate the presence of spots on the stellar surface.

peak  $S/N \text{ px}^{-1}$  ranging from 360–880 (AAT) and  $\sim 500$ –1300 (CFHT). For the log of observations see Table 3.

Optimal extraction of the SEMPOL and ESPaDOnS échelle spectropolarimetric observations was done using the ESPrIT and Libre-ESPrIT (Échelle Spectra Reduction: an Interactive Tool; Donati et al. 1997) reduction packages. Preliminary processing involved removing the bias and flat-fielding using a nightly master flat. Each spectrum was extracted and wavelength calibrated against a Th-Ar lamp, then normalised to the continuum using polynomial fits to individual spectral orders.

### 5.1. Spectropolarimetric analysis using LSD

As the Zeeman signatures in atomic lines are typically extremely small (typical relative amplitudes of 0.1 per cent or less), we

**Table 3.** Journal of observations and results of magnetic measurements of  $\sigma$  Lup.

Nr.	Obs. <sup>1</sup>	Date <sup>2</sup>	HJD <sup>3</sup> –2 450 000	$t_{\text{exp}}$ s	$S/N^4$ pxl <sup>-1</sup>	Phase <sup>5</sup>	$B_l^6$		$N_l^7$	
							G	$\sigma(B_l)$ G	G	$\sigma(N_l)$ G
1	A	2007-12-28	4463.24031	4 × 400	880	0.141	79	20	4	20
2	A	2008-01-01	4467.25522	4 × 400	620	0.470	–69	27	–4	27
3	A	2008-12-15	4816.25084	4 × 400	720	0.043	75	25	8	25
4	A	2008-12-16	4817.24836	4 × 400	720	0.373	–39	24	–7	24
5	A	2008-12-17	4818.24066	4 × 400	360	0.702	95	49	0	49
6	C	2009-02-17	4880.12491	4 × 130	1015	0.195	69	24	22	24
7	C	2009-02-17	4880.13320	4 × 130	1188	0.198	96	21	–4	21
8	C	2009-02-17	4880.14136	4 × 130	1140	0.201	52	22	9	22
9	A	2009-04-08	4930.25755	4 × 400	750	0.797	57	24	–23	24
10	C	2010-02-27	5255.10549	4 × 200	1114	0.373	–70	22	1	22
11	C	2010-03-02	5258.09114	4 × 200	1021	0.361	–15	24	–37	24
12	C	2010-03-03	5259.08881	4 × 200	779	0.692	–15	31	–15	31
13	C	2010-03-04	5260.08395	4 × 200	1099	0.021	117	22	–20	22
14	C	2010-03-05	5261.10469	4 × 200	959	0.359	–43	25	–6	25
15	C	2010-03-07	5263.05040	4 × 200	1303	0.004	114	19	–13	19
16	C	2010-03-07	5263.08299	4 × 200	1326	0.014	103	19	–3	19
17	C	2010-03-08	5264.02698	4 × 200	490	0.327	–28	50	26	50
18	C	2010-03-08	5264.03882	4 × 200	624	0.331	–30	39	27	39
19	C	2010-03-08	5264.12734	4 × 200	744	0.360	–31	33	–40	33
20	C	2010-05-28	5344.86081	4 × 200	908	0.096	73	40	3	40
21	C	2010-05-28	5344.87315	4 × 200	579	0.100	14	186	28	186
22	C	2010-05-28	5344.88541	4 × 200	664	0.104	173	62	75	62
23	C	2010-05-30	5346.84258	4 × 200	980	0.752	27	28	23	28
24	C	2010-05-31	5347.83115	4 × 200	1291	0.079	117	22	15	22
25	C	2010-06-01	5348.88552	4 × 200	870	0.428	–123	29	52	29
26	C	2010-06-02	5349.82460	4 × 200	963	0.739	1	26	11	26

**Notes.** 1. A = obtained with the SEMPOL spectropolarimeter attached to the UCLES at the AAT; C = with ESPaDOnS attached to the CFHT. – 2. Date when the first observation started. – 3. Calculated at the center of the total exposure time ( $t_{\text{exp}}$ ) of Col. 5. – 4. Quality of the Stokes  $V$  spectra, expressed as the signal to noise ratio per pixel around 550 nm in the reduced spectrum. – 5. Rotational phase, calculated with Eq. (5). – 6. Longitudinal magnetic field values with their 1- $\sigma$  uncertainties. – 7. Computed magnetic values of the diagnostic null (or  $N$ ) spectrum with their 1- $\sigma$  uncertainties.

have applied the technique of least-squares deconvolution (LSD, Donati et al. 1997) to the photospheric spectral lines in each échelle spectrum in order to create a single high-S/N profile for each observation. In order to correct for the minor instrumental shifts in wavelength space, each spectrum was shifted to match the Stokes  $I$  LSD profile of the telluric lines contained in the spectra, as described by Donati et al. (2003) and Marsden et al. (2006). The line mask used for the stellar LSD was a B2 star linelist containing 170 He and metal lines created from the Kurucz atomic database and ATLAS9 atmospheric models (Kurucz 1993) but with modified depths such that they are proportional to the depth of the observed lines. Further information on the LSD procedure is provided by Donati et al. (1997) and Kochukhov et al. (2010).

## 6. Spectropolarimetry: results

The presence of a magnetic field on a star produces a signal in the Stokes  $V$  profile. The Stokes  $V$  profile is created by constructively combining the left- and right-hand circularly polarised light together from the 4 sub-exposures, while a diagnostic null ( $N$ ) profile was created by destructively combining the 4 sub-exposures. This null profile was used to check if any false detections have been introduced by variations in the observing conditions, instrument or star between the sub-exposures. Figure 5 shows the Stokes  $V$  LSD profiles for the two discovery observations, which show opposite Zeeman signatures. As mentioned earlier, the Stokes  $V$  signature is much smaller than Stokes  $I$ ,


typically less than 0.1% of the continuum. By combining the more than 170 lines, the S/N is improved dramatically. We used the same line list for all spectra. Table 3 includes the resulting mean SNR for each of the observations. The observing conditions were often adverse, particularly during the December 2008 run at the AAT as the star was very low in the East and was taken just prior to 12° twilight. Hence the detections on the 15th and again on the 17th of December, 2008 were only marginal in LSD profiles sampled at the nominal resolution of the instrument. The observations at CFHT were all taken near airmass 3 because of the low declination (–50°) of the star. The observation at JD 2 455 344.87415 (number 21 in Table 3) was taken under very poor weather conditions, leading to very large uncertainties (null spectrum with  $N = 28 \pm 186$  G, and  $B = 14 \pm 186$  G). This observation was disregarded in further analysis.

### 6.1. Longitudinal magnetic field

We derived the longitudinal component of the magnetic field, integrated over the visible hemisphere, from the Stokes  $I$  and  $V$  LSD profiles in the usual manner by calculating (Mathys 1989; Donati et al. 1997):

$$B_\ell = \left(-2.14 \times 10^{11} \text{ G}\right) \frac{\int vV(v)dv}{\lambda gc \int [1 - I(v)]dv}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\lambda$ , in nm, is the mean, S/N-weighted wavelength,  $c$  is the velocity of light in the same units as the velocity  $v$ , and  $g$  is



**Fig. 6.** Magnetic data as a function of time. Observations from AAT (red dots) and CFHT (blue squares) are indicated.

the mean, S/N-weighted value of the Landé factors of all lines used to construct the LSD profile. We used  $\lambda = 515$  nm and  $g = 1.27$ . The noise in the LSD spectra was measured and is given in Table 3, along with the S/N obtained in the raw data. The integration limits were chosen at  $\pm 90$  km s $^{-1}$ . The longitudinal field is measured with a typical  $1\sigma$  uncertainty of 20–30 G, and varies between about +100 and –100 G. In contrast, the same quantity measured from the  $N$  profiles show no significant magnetic field. The longitudinal field data as a function of time are shown in Fig. 6, and are reported in Table 3.

### 6.2. Magnetic period and phase analysis

A best fit to the magnetic data in Table 3 of the form

$$B_{\ell}(t) = B_0 + B_{\max} \cos(2\pi(t/P + \phi)) \quad (4)$$


with  $1/\sigma(B)^2$  error bars as weights yields  $P = 3.01972 \pm 0.00043$  d,  $B_0 = 22 \pm 6$  G,  $B_{\max} = 96 \pm 8$  G, and  $\phi = 0.11 \pm 0.24$  with a reduced  $\chi^2 = 1.01$ . An overplot with the data, folded in phase, along with the residuals is presented in the two lower panels of Fig. 7. The maximum magnetic field value occurs at:

$$T(B_{\max}) = \text{HJD } 2\,455\,106.01 \pm 0.72 + n \times (3.01972 \pm 0.00043). \quad (5)$$

The obtained period is very close to the photometric period of  $3.01938 \pm 0.00022$  d (see Eq. (2)). Extrapolating backwards to the epoch of photometric observations (with 2479 cycles), we find that the epoch of maximum light at  $\text{HJD } 2\,447\,620.48 \pm 0.56$  coincides within the errors with the epoch of expected maximum magnetic field at  $\text{HJD } 2\,447\,620.86 \pm 0.72$ . As we have no a priori knowledge of why maximum light would occur at maximum field strength, we cannot derive a more accurate value of the rotational period from combining the phase information.

### 6.3. Magnetic geometry


The adopted values of the rotation period,  $v \sin i$  and stellar radius (see Table 1) imply that the inclination,  $i$ , of the rotation axis with the line of sight is about  $58^\circ$ , but not well constrained between  $43^\circ$  and  $90^\circ$ . For a centered dipole model, the magnetic tilt angle with respect to the rotation axis,  $\beta$ , is then constrained by the observed ratio  $B_{\max}/B_{\min} = \cos(i+\beta)/\cos(i-\beta) = -1.59^{+0.58}_{-0.40}$ , implying  $\beta$  close to  $90^\circ$ . In other words, the line of sight is not so far ( $\lesssim 45^\circ$ ) from the rotational equatorial plane, and per rotation we see both magnetic poles passing. Such a dipole geometry implies a polar field of  $B_p \simeq 500$  G.



**Fig. 7.** Magnetic and equivalent-width data (red dots: AAT; blue squares: CFHT) phased with Eq. (5), repeated over two rotational periods. *Lower two panels:* magnetic data with their residuals to the best-fit cosine curve. Panels 3, 4 and 5 from bottom: equivalent widths of the Si III 4575, He I 4471 and Al III 5696 lines with a best-fit sinusoid superposed. Note the opposite behavior of the different lines. *Top:* C IV 1540 equivalent width fifteen years earlier. The dashed curve is a suggested double sine wave, with arbitrary amplitude, and phased according to what is observed in other similar magnetic stars.

## 7. Equivalent widths

The equivalent widths were measured for the following 15 spectral lines: C II  $\lambda 4267$ , He I  $\lambda 4471$ , 4920, 6678, N II  $\lambda 4601$  (blended with O II), 4630, O II  $\lambda 4416$ , 4591, Si II  $\lambda 5040$ , 5055, Si III  $\lambda 4552$  (blended with N II), 4568, 4575, Al III 5696, and H $\alpha$   $\lambda 6563$ . The variation as a function of rotational phase of three representative lines are shown in Fig. 7. The three helium lines show the most significant modulation, in antiphase with the magnetic field, whereas opposite phase behavior, i.e. in phase with the magnetic field, is found among the five silicon lines. The slightly variable Al III varies in phase with the helium lines. The nitrogen lines were not detected to vary. None of the other



**Fig. 8.** Overplot of spectra around  $H\alpha$ , showing no obvious emission, and no significant variability.

studied lines show significant periodicity. This includes  $H\alpha$ , which did not show any significant emission, see Fig. 8. We note that the antiphase behaviour between the He and Si lines is similar to what is observed in other magnetic He-strong and He-weak B stars, like for instance HD 37776, for which [Khokhlova et al. \(2000\)](#) showed that helium is overabundant in regions where silicon is underabundant, and vice versa. The surface abundances of some of these elements are apparently not homogeneously distributed over the stellar surface, causing a rotational modulation of the equivalent widths, and in total luminosity. The irregular bumps in the  $I$  profiles in Fig. 5 are therefore likely explained by the presence of spots for a number of elements, associated with the magnetic field configuration. Zeeman Doppler Imaging techniques may give a more quantitative result.


## 8. Abundance determination

For its spectral type it is not unusual that this star is magnetic: in fact it could be a He peculiar star. The ultraviolet He II line at 1640.41 Å is not prominent. Many magnetic early B stars have abundances deviating from solar.

The available spectra include lines of H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, and Fe. The spectral lines of most of the elements are mildly variable, particularly in the shape and depth of the cores, but very little in the line wings. This strongly suggests that the atmospheric chemistry of  $\sigma$  Lup is not uniform, but is somewhat patchy. The fact that the overall equivalent width of most lines remains approximately constant in spite of the small core variations suggests that this patchiness is rather small scale – there is no strong evidence for variations from one magnetic hemisphere to the other, except for a mild variation of helium.

To obtain a first characterisation of the atmospheric chemistry of the star, mean abundances have been determined for several elements from each of two spectra. Such abundances, for elements that are certainly non-uniform on a small scale, correspond roughly to hemispheric average abundances for the hemisphere producing the observed spectrum. Two spectra have been analysed, one from near the negative field extremum and He line strength maximum at phase 0.428 (obtained on 2010-06-02), and one from near field maximum and He minimum at phase 0.195 (obtained on 2009-02-17).

The abundance determinations have been made using the line synthesis program ZEEMAN ([Landstreet 1988](#); [Wade et al. 2001](#)), designed to compute line profiles for magnetic stars from a simple model of magnetic field structure (a low order multipole



**Fig. 9.** Portion of the spectrum at phase 0.428, with most lines identified, overplotted with the model fit to determine abundances.

expansion) and a simple distribution model which in this case is taken to be a uniform distribution over the star. It takes as input a model atmosphere in local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) computed with Kurucz' ATLAS for  $T_e = 23\,000$  K and  $\log g = 4.0$ , and computes the observed spectrum. The program determines a best value of radial velocity  $v_r = 0.0 \pm 0.5$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, rotational velocity  $v \sin i = 68 \pm 6$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, and abundance of a single element at a time by optimising the fit to a segment of observed spectrum (see e.g. [Bagnulo et al. 2004](#)), iterating this process until a satisfactory fit. A dipolar field with polar strength  $B_d = 400$  G observed at about 45° has been assumed, but the results are essentially independent of the assumed field geometry.

The program assumes LTE. Although this is not a good approximation in general for a star of  $T_e = 23\,000$  K, [Przybilla et al. \(2011\)](#) showed that LTE modelling can yield meaningful abundances up to about this effective temperature, provided the right spectroscopic indicators are employed. They provide a list of some of the indicators to use. We have identified others by synthesising an available spectrum of  $\alpha$  Pyx = HD 74575, a star very similar to  $\sigma$  Lup, for which the fundamental parameters and abundance table are known with high accuracy ([Przybilla et al. 2008](#)). By creating a synthetic spectrum of  $\alpha$  Pyx based on these stellar parameters, we can identify spectral lines whose strengths are correctly computed in LTE, and then use these lines to determine abundances in  $\sigma$  Lup.

Abundances were determined using several  $\sim 100$  Å windows. A sample of the fit for phase 0.428 is shown in Fig. 9. The results are summarised in Table 4, which lists for each element studied both the logarithmic value of the ratio of the number density of the element to the number density of H for each of the two spectra, the value of this ratio in the Sun as reported by [Asplund et al. \(2009\)](#), and the logarithmic ratios of the values in  $\sigma$  Lup relative to the Sun (average values for elements that have closely similar abundances in the two spectra).

This table needs several remarks. Uncertainties in abundance are estimated from the scatter in the value derived from different spectral windows. This scatter probably arises in part from inaccuracy in atomic data, in part from probably not precisely correct fundamental parameters, in part from neglect of non-LTE effects, and in part from the small-scale abundance inhomogeneities that are not modelled.

For He, we have used the relatively weak He I lines at 4437, 4713, 5015, and 5047 Å, which do not have significant forbidden components, and for which LTE and non-LTE calculations give very similar profiles at 22 000 K ([Przybilla et al. 2011](#)). We also

**Table 4.** Characteristic chemical abundances of  $\sigma$  Lup, determined at rotational phases near magnetic field extrema (Cols. 2 and 3), and compared with solar values (Col. 4).

Element	$\log\left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_{0.195}$	$\log\left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_{0.428}$	$\log\left(\frac{n_X}{n_H}\right)_\odot$	[X/H]
He	$-0.88 \pm 0.12$	$-0.75 \pm 0.14$	-1.07	+0.19 to +0.32
C	$-3.90 \pm 0.19$	$-3.85 \pm 0.15$	-3.57	-0.30
N	$-3.73 \pm 0.16$	$-3.75 \pm 0.15$	-4.17	+0.43
O	$-3.28 \pm 0.16$	$-3.33 \pm 0.14$	-3.31	+0.00
Mg	$-4.57 \pm 0.15$	$-4.52 \pm 0.15$	-4.40	-0.15
Al	$-5.80 \pm 0.15$	$-5.75 \pm 0.15$	-5.55	-0.22
Si	$-5.0 \pm 0.4$	$-5.0 \pm 0.4$	-4.49	-0.5
S	$-5.68 \pm 0.15$	$-5.68 \pm 0.15$	-4.88	-0.80
Fe	$-4.60 \pm 0.10$	$-4.60 \pm 0.10$	-4.50	-0.10

modelled the very weak He I line at 4686 Å; this line is approximately the correct strength when computed with the abundance of He derived from the He I lines, consistent with the values of  $T_e$  and  $\log g$  in Table 1. The He abundance in both spectra is weakly overabundant with respect to the solar value, by about a factor of two, so  $\sigma$  Lup is a mild He-rich star. An abundance difference of about 0.1–0.15 dex is consistently found between the two spectra for all the lines modelled, with a larger abundance in the spectrum at phase 0.428, consistent with the larger equivalent width observed for He I 4471 (Fig. 9).

Przybilla et al. (2011) point out that many weak lines of N II and O II are well described by LTE, but that C II lines are mostly out of LTE. For C they recommend using the lines of C II multiplet (16) around 5145 Å for LTE abundance determination. Accordingly, the abundances of N and O are based on several weak lines of each element in several spectral windows between 4400 and 5050 Å, while those of C are based on the recommended multiplet. The uncertainty is estimated from the dispersion of the abundances found in various spectral windows. From these data we find that there is no significant difference in the abundance of these three elements between the two magnetic hemispheres. C is found to be underabundant with respect to the Sun by about a factor of 2; N is overabundant by about a factor of 2, and O is approximately solar. Abundances of Mg, Al, S, and Fe are determined using weak lines of the dominant ionisation states. None seems to be significantly variable, and are all mildly subsolar in abundance, except for S which is almost one dex underabundant.

A number of lines of Si II and III, and a few lines of Si IV, are visible in the spectra of  $\alpha$  Pyx and  $\sigma$  Lup. When these Si lines are synthesised for  $\alpha$  Pyx in LTE using the parameters and abundances of this star, most of the observed lines are found to be very discordant with the computed spectrum. The same problem is present in the spectrum of  $\sigma$  Lup. The abundance of Si in  $\sigma$  Lup was initially obtained using the weak Si II lines at 5040 and 5056 Å, and the considerably stronger Si III triplet 4552, 4567, and 4574 Å. The two levels of ionisation gave abundances that differed by about a factor of 30, from about  $-5.50$  for the Si II lines to  $-3.95$  for the Si III lines. From the discussion of this element by Przybilla et al. (2011), it appears that this is largely a problem of major departures of Si levels from LTE, and that even non-LTE calculations (e.g. Becker & Butler 1990) do not fully account for the departures.

Accordingly, we have simply searched the spectrum of  $\alpha$  Pyx for lines of Si that are approximately correct with the Si abundance found by Przybilla et al. (2008). The most useful lines seem to be Si IV at 4088 and 4116 Å. These lines are consistent with an abundance of Si that is about a factor of 4 below the

solar value, in both the spectra modelled. However, we give this element an unusually large uncertainty in view of the exceptional difficulties of LTE analysis. Although the exact value is extremely uncertain, it appears that the abundance of Si in  $\sigma$  Lup is probably no higher than the solar ratio. As expected, line profiles of most Si II and III lines computed with this abundance do not match the observed profiles at all well.

## 9. Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we predicted and confirmed that  $\sigma$  Lup is an oblique magnetic rotator. The period determined from photometric measurements is  $3.01938 \pm 0.00022$  d, while that determined from the magnetic measurements is  $3.01972 \pm 0.00043$  d. These periods are in formal agreement, and we interpret them to be the rotational period of the star. Using the  $\pm 100$  G variation of the longitudinal magnetic field, in combination with our estimate of the rotation axis inclination  $i \approx 58^\circ$ , we model the magnetic field as a dipole with obliquity  $\beta \approx 90^\circ$  and surface polar field strength  $B_d \approx 500$  G. Modeling of the spectrum using the LTE synthesis code ZEEMAN reveals enhanced chemical abundances of a variety of elements. We observe variations of the equivalent widths and shapes of line profiles that repeat with the rotation period, indicating that chemical elements are distributed non-uniformly across the stellar surface, with patterns that depend on the element in question.

These properties do not stand out in any particular way from the general population of early B-type magnetic stars. The longitudinal field variation is approximately sinusoidal, indicative of an important dipolar component to the field. The polar field strength is not atypical for such stars, nor is the large inferred value of the obliquity (see, e.g. Petit et al. 2011; Alecian et al. 2011). The rotational period is within the range of periods observed for such stars, and the chemical peculiarities and their non-uniform distributions are similar to those of other stars with similar temperatures. We therefore conclude that  $\sigma$  Lup is a rather normal magnetic B-type star.

As summarised by Jerzykiewicz & Sterken (1992), similar photometric periods have been found in a number of Be and Bn stars (Balona et al. 1987; van Vuuren et al. 1988; Cuypers et al. 1989; Balona et al. 1991), see also Carrier & Burki (2003), termed  $\lambda$  Eri variables. These are short-term periodic Be stars with strictly periodic variations with periods in the range 0.5–2.0 d. Vogt & Penrod (1983) suggested that these variations could be explained either by non-radial pulsations or by clouds close to the stellar photosphere. Balona (1991) and Balona et al. (1991) suggested a rotational origin, specifically caused by material trapped above the photosphere by magnetic fields. In this aspect it is interesting to mention that Neiner et al. (2003c) reported a possible detection of a magnetic field in the Be star  $\omega$  Ori, which also belongs to this class, although recent results are unable to confirm the reported field: see Neiner et al. (2012). As was demonstrated by e.g. Krtićka et al. (2009) and assumed in this paper, spots caused by surface abundance inhomogeneities, associated with the magnetic field, cause the periodic photometric variations. Further investigation is needed to establish whether a similar phenomenon holds for  $\lambda$  Eri stars as well.

This star is the fourth confirmed B star which has been diagnosed to be magnetic from the variability of its ultraviolet stellar wind lines, i.e. together with  $\beta$  Cep, V2052 Oph and  $\zeta$  Cas. These stars, however, had their rotation period established from UV data, whereas the period of  $\sigma$  Lup was found from photometry. It could be due to the short rotation period that the photometric changes are more easily detectable in this star,

and/or that surface spots are less pronounced in the other stars. From these four B stars the presence of a magnetic field apparently does not depend on the pulsation or rotation properties.

It is interesting to compare the abundances of these magnetic B stars, as reported by [Morel et al. \(2006\)](#). All these stars are enhanced in nitrogen, whereas all but  $\beta$  Cep are helium enriched. Apparently nitrogen enrichment in the presence of a magnetic field is even more common than helium over- or under-abundance. This property was in fact used to search for a magnetic field in  $\zeta$  Cas. In this context we also note that in the previously-known nitrogen enriched B0.5V star  $\xi^1$  CMa a magnetic field was found by [Hubrig et al. \(2006\)](#), which was confirmed by [Silvester et al. \(2009\)](#). On the other hand, other some nitrogen enriched early B stars (e.g.  $\delta$  Cet) are not found to be magnetic ([Wade & Mimes Collaboration 2011](#)). Thus N enrichment, while not necessarily a direct tracer of magnetism in B stars, could still serve as an additional indicator that will be useful to discover more magnetic B stars.

*Acknowledgements.* We are grateful to Coralie Neiner and Nolan Walborn for their comments on the manuscript. H.F.H. fondly acknowledge the warm hospitality of the Department of Astrophysics, Radboud University, Nijmegen, under the inspiring directorship of Prof. Paul Groot, where this work was initiated. The authors would like to thank the technical staff at the AAT for their helpful assistance during the acquisition of the spectroscopic data. G.A.W. and J.L. acknowledge Discovery Grant support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. This project used the facilities of SIMBAD and HIPPARCOS. This research made use of INES data from the IUE satellite and of NASA's Astrophysics Data System.

## References

- Alecian, E., Kochukhov, O., Neiner, C., et al. 2011, *A&A*, 536, L6
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 481
- Bagnulo, S., Hensberge, H., Landstreet, J. D., Szeifert, T., & Wade, G. A. 2004, *A&A*, 416, 1149
- Balona, L. A. 1991, in *Rapid Variability of OB-stars: Nature and Diagnostic Value*, ed. D. Baade, 249
- Balona, L. A., Marang, F., Monderen, P., Reitermann, A., & Zickgraf, F.-J. 1987, *A&AS*, 71, 11
- Balona, L. A., Sterken, C., & Manfroid, J. 1991, *MNRAS*, 252, 93
- Barker, P. K. 1986, in *Hydrogen Deficient Stars and Related Objects*, eds. K. Hunger, D. Schönberner, & N. Kameswara Rao, IAU Colloq., 87, *Astrophys. Space Sci. Lib.*, 128, 277
- Becker, S. R., & Butler, K. 1990, *A&A*, 235, 326
- Berghöfer, T. W., Schmitt, J. H. M. M., & Cassinelli, J. P. 1996, *A&AS*, 118, 481
- Brown, A. G. A., & Verschueren, W. 1997, *A&A*, 319, 811
- Carrier, F., & Burki, G. 2003, *A&A*, 401, 271
- Chalabaev, A., & Maillard, J. P. 1983, *A&A*, 127, 279
- Code, A. D., & Meade, M. R. 1979, *ApJS*, 39, 195
- Cuyppers, J., Balona, L. A., & Marang, F. 1989, *A&AS*, 81, 151
- de Vaucouleurs, A. 1957, *MNRAS*, 117, 449
- Donati, J.-F., Semel, M., Carter, B. D., Rees, D. E., & Collier Cameron, A. 1997, *MNRAS*, 291, 658
- Donati, J.-F., Catala, C., Wade, G. A., et al. 1999, *A&AS*, 134, 149
- Donati, J.-F., Collier Cameron, A., Semel, M., et al. 2003, *MNRAS*, 345, 1145
- Fullerton, A. W. 2003, in *Magnetic Fields in O, B and A Stars: Origin and Connection to Pulsation, Rotation and Mass Loss*, San Francisco, ASP Conf. Ser., 305, 333
- Grady, C. A., Bjorkman, K. S., & Snow, T. P. 1987, *ApJ*, 320, 376
- Henrichs, H. F., Bauer, F., Hill, G. M., et al. 1993, in *New Perspectives on Stellar Pulsation and Pulsating Variable Stars*, San Francisco, eds. J. M. Nemeč, & J. M. Matthews, IAU Colloq., 139, 186
- Henrichs, H. F., Kaper, L., & Nichols, J. S. 1994, *A&A*, 285, 565
- Henrichs, H. F., de Jong, J. A., Donati, J.-F., et al. 2000, in *The Be Phenomenon in Early-Type Stars*, San Francisco, eds. M. A. Smith, H. F. Henrichs, & J. Fabregat, IAU Colloq., 175, ASP Conf. Ser., 214, 324
- Henrichs, H. F., Schnerr, R. S., & Ten Kulve, E. 2005, in *The Nature and Evolution of Disks Around Hot Stars*, San Francisco, ASP Conf. Ser., 337, 114
- Hiltner, W. A., Garrison, R. F., & Schild, R. E. 1969, *ApJ*, 157, 313
- Hoffleit, D., & Jaschek, C. 1991, *The Bright Star Catalogue* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Observatory), 5th rev. edn.
- Houk, N. 1978, Michigan catalogue of two-dimensional spectral types for the HD stars (Ann Arbor: Dept. of Astronomy, University of Michigan: distributed by University Microfilms International)
- Hubrig, S., Briquet, M., Schöller, M., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 369, L61
- Jerzykiewicz, M., & Sterken, C. 1992, *A&A*, 261, 477
- Kaper, L., Henrichs, H. F., Fullerton, A. W., et al. 1997, *A&A*, 327, 281
- Khokhlova, V. L., Vasilchenko, D. V., Stepanov, V. V., & Romanyuk, I. I. 2000, *Astron. Lett.*, 26, 177
- Kochukhov, O., Makaganiuk, V., & Piskunov, N. 2010, *A&A*, 524, A5
- Koen, C., & Eyler, L. 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 45
- Krtićka, J., Mikulášek, Z., Henry, G. W., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 499, 567
- Kurucz, R. 1993, *CDROM Model Distribution*, Smithsonian Astrophys. Obs.
- Landstreet, J. D. 1988, *ApJ*, 326, 967
- Levenhagen, R. S., & Leister, N. V. 2006, *MNRAS*, 371, 252
- Manfroid, J., Sterken, C., Bruch, A., et al. 1991, *A&AS*, 87, 481
- Marsden, S. C., Donati, J.-F., Semel, M., Petit, P., & Carter, B. D. 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 468
- Mathys, G. 1989, *Fundamentals of Cosmic Physics*, 13, 143
- Morel, T., Butler, K., Aerts, C., Neiner, C., & Briquet, M. 2006, *A&A*, 457, 651
- Neiner, C., Geers, V. C., Henrichs, H. F., et al. 2003a, *A&A*, 406, 1019
- Neiner, C., Henrichs, H. F., Floquet, M., et al. 2003b, *A&A*, 411, 565
- Neiner, C., Hubert, A.-M., Frémat, Y., et al. 2003c, *A&A*, 409, 275
- Neiner, C., et al. 2012, *MNRAS*, submitted
- Panek, R. J., & Savage, B. D. 1976, *ApJ*, 206, 167
- Pasinetti Fracassini, L. E., Pastori, L., Covino, S., & Pozzi, A. 2001, *A&A*, 367, 521
- Petit, V., Massa, D. L., Marcolino, W. L. F., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 412, L45
- Prinja, R. K. 1989, *MNRAS*, 241, 721
- Przybilla, N., Nieva, M.-F., & Butler, K. 2008, *ApJ*, 688, L103
- Przybilla, N., Nieva, M.-F., & Butler, K. 2011, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, 328, 012015
- Roberts, D. H., Lehar, J., & Dreher, J. W. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 968
- Semel, M. 1989, *A&A*, 225, 456
- Semel, M., Donati, J.-F., & Rees, D. E. 1993, *A&A*, 278, 231
- Shobbrook, R. R. 1978, *MNRAS*, 184, 43
- Shore, S. N., Brown, D. N., Sonneborn, G., Landstreet, J. D., & Bohlender, D. A. 1990, *ApJ*, 348, 242
- Silvester, J., Neiner, C., Henrichs, H. F., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1505
- Sokolov, N. A. 1995, *A&AS*, 110, 553
- van Leeuwen, F. 2007, *A&A*, 474
- van Vuuren, G. W., Balona, L. A., & Marang, F. 1988, *MNRAS*, 234, 373
- Vander Linden, D., & Sterken, C. 1987, *A&AS*, 69, 157
- Vogt, S. S., & Penrod, G. D. 1983, *ApJ*, 275, 661
- Wade, G. A., Bagnulo, S., Kochukhov, O., et al. 2001, *A&A*, 374, 265
- Wade, G. A., & Mimes Collaboration 2011, in *Magnetic Stars*, 23